Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/627,247	MONAHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Guzo	1636	
All Participants:	Status of Application: amended		
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Kirk Ekena</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 March 2006	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 14			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment which placed the application in condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
Dain Juna			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	